

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,178	11/25/2003	James Stephen Mason	GB920020058US1	7003
50548 73	590 10/04/2005	EXAMINER		INER
ZILKA-KOTAB, PC			NGUYEN, HAI L	
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2816	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AH			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/722,178	MASON, JAMES STEPHEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INO DATE of this communication of	Hai L. Nguyen	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed nthe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	July 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	+53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/	/are: a) accepted or b) object	cted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	эе 37 CFR 1.85(а).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri	·	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ad			
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not receive	ea.			
		•			
Attachment(s)	n□	(070.440)			
1)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	· —	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The amendment received on 7/14/2005 has been reviewed and considered with the following results:

As to the objection to the specification, Applicant's amendments have overcome the objection, as such; the objection has been withdrawn.

As to the objection to claim 11, Applicant's amendments have overcome the objection, as such; the objection has been withdrawn.

As to the prior art rejections to claims 1-3 and 8-11, Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejections by the previous office action mailed on 04/20/2005 have been fully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. Therefore, the prior art rejection is maintained. The arguments supporting the previous rejections are addressed in detail below.

### **Drawings**

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,178 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (APA), Fig. 1 in the present application, in view of Welland (US 6,483,390; previously cited).

With regard to claims 1 and 10, the admitted prior art in Fig. 1 shows a semiconductor Type Two phased locked loop filter, and a method of use thereof, having a passive capacitor part (C1, C2) and an resistor part (R1). Fig. 1 of the prior art meets all the claimed limitations except that the resistor part is a passive resistor instead of an active resistor as recited in the claim. Welland teaches in Figs. 17A-17B a circuit having active resistor (1702), which is integrated with the passive capacitor (C1, C2), as a variable resistance device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention was made to utilize that teaching of Welland in the prior art (Fig. 1) by replacing the passive resistor of APA with the active resistor taught by Welland for the advantage of being able to tune the filter circuit.

With regard to claims 2-3 and 8, the references (Welland) also meet the recited limitations in these claims.

With regard to claim 9, the admitted prior art in Fig. 1 shows a semiconductor phased locked loop system comprising a charge pump; a voltage controller oscillator; and a Type Two

filter comprising a passive capacitor part (C1, C2) and a resistor part (R1). Fig. 1 of the prior art meets all the claimed limitations except that the resistor part is a passive resistor instead of an active resistor as recited in the claim. Welland teaches in Figs. 17A-17B a circuit having active resistor (1702), which is integrated with the passive capacitor (C1, C2), as a variable resistance device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention was made to utilize that teaching of Welland in the prior art (Fig. 1) by replacing the passive resistor of APA with the active resistor taught by Welland for the advantage of being able to tune the filter circuit.

With regard to claim 11, the references (Welland) also meet the recited limitation in the claim. Since there is no special step for manufacturing of resistor components is disclosed.

With regard to claim 12, the recited limitation "a resistance of the active resistor is controlled by a feedback loop coupled to an input of the active resistor" is also met by the references (see Figs. 4-5 of Welland).

With regard to claims 13-15, the references (Fig. 1 of the APA) also meet the recited limitation in these claims.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's argument is that "Applicant respectfully disagrees that the combination proposed in the rejection anticipates the claimed invention. Particularly adjustable capacitance circuits of the type disclosed in Welland's FIGS. 17A-C are discussed in the background of the present application as undesirable. The configuration proposed by Welland adjusts the capacitor closest to ground by allowing current to pass to ground. As mentioned on p. 2, line 17 to p. 3,

Art Unit: 2816

line 10 of the present application, adjusting this capacitor alone can undesirably affect the gain of the PLL system. Likewise, the APA circuit shown in FIG. 1 of the present application is difficult to tune without adjusting other gain parameters." is not persuasive because there is no such combination proposed in the rejections to the claims. Therefore, no need to discuss that argument.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4-7 are allowed.

The prior art of record fails to disclose or fairly suggest a semicondutor Type Two phased locked loop filter, as recited in claim 4, having specific structural limitations such as a regulator circuit (U1, U2, T2, Iref), wherein the Type Two phased locked loop filter operates from a voltage (From Charge Pump/ To VCO) and the active resistor part (T1) is controlled by a regulator circuit operating from a voltage (Vref) that follows the type two phased locked loop voltage, and being configured in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/722,178 Page 6

Art Unit: 2816

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai L. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1747 and Right Fax number is 571-273-1747. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1562.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 2, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800